


Power, Preferences, and Balancing: Erratum

Scott Wolford
University of Texas at Austin
swolford@austin.utexas.edu

Abstract

Figure 3 in [Wolford \(2014\)](#), which plots predicted probabilities of third-party opposition in international crises, presents confidence intervals that lack the proper transformation appropriate for the probit model used to generate them. In this brief erratum, I present the same figure with properly calculated confidence intervals and show that the substantive interpretation of the empirical results are the same. I also present the game tree that was left out of the published version for space considerations.

Figure 1: Predicted Probabilities of Opposition and 95% Confidence Intervals by Coalitional Power and Diversity of Coalitional Interests



References

Wolford, Scott. 2014. "Power, Preferences, and Balancing: The Durability of Coalitions and the Expansion of Conflict." *International Studies Quarterly* 58(1):146–157.

Figure 2: The sequence of moves and payoffs

